

Attorney Docket No.
VIGN1210-5

10/027,384
Customer ID: 44654

4

REMARKS

Applicant appreciates the time taken by the Examiner to review Applicant's present application. This application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Official Action mailed October 5, 2005. Claim 1 has been amended and Claim 2 has been added. This amendment does not present new matter. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and favorable action in this case.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claim 1 stands rejected as obvious over U.S. Patent No. 6,744,452 ("McBrearty") in view of U.S. Publication No. 2001/0054087 ("Flom"). Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection as set forth in further detail below.

Dynamically generating the requested content from a template as a dynamically-generated locale-sensitive content ('DGLSC') based on the locale preference

The instant application recites dynamically generating content based on locale preference. For example, paragraph 25 of the instant application recites receiving a request for content from a user and dynamically generating the requested content from a template as DGLSC based on the user locale preference. Once a visitor's locale preferences are determined, the user's locale preference can be passed to appropriate page generation engines using, for example, HTTP header extensions, as described in paragraph 43 of the specification. Thus, a user's locale preference is determined from the user's request with an algorithm, as described above, and the requested content is dynamically generated based on the locale preference.

In contrast to the claimed limitations, the cited passage of McBrearty recites handling a user request either by a remote server or by a local browser cache of data. McBrearty appears to teach choosing between providing a user with a cached web page, or downloading the same (potentially updated) web page from its server. However, McBrearty does not appear to teach either dynamically generated locale-sensitive content, or generating content based upon a user's locale preference. Thus, Applicant asserts that McBrearty does not and cannot teach or suggest dynamically generating the requested content from a template as a dynamically-generated locale-sensitive content ('DGLSC') based on the locale preference.

PROPOSED AMENDMENT

NOT FOR ENTRY

Attorney Docket No.
VIGN1210-5

10/027,384
Customer ID: 44654

5

If the template generates content that will be cached, generating a locale-sensitive filename for the DGLSC based on the locale preference and associating the DGLSC with the locale-sensitive filename.

Paragraph 25 of the instant application recites, "...If the template is a cacheable template, a locale-sensitive filename can be generated for the DGLSC based on the user locale preference. The locale-sensitive filename can be associated with the DGLSC." As noted above, a cacheable template, as described by paragraph 14 of the specification, is a template that generates content that is cached. Thus, if the template used generates dynamically-generated locale-sensitive content (i.e., DGLSC) that will be cached, a filename based on the user locale preference will be created for and associated with the DGLSC.

In contrast, the cited passage of McBrearty recites searching for a cached file in a user's browser cache directory if a cached file is to be used. McBrearty does not appear to teach or suggest creating filenames based on user locale preference. In fact, McBrearty does not appear to reference user locale at all, let alone with respect to selection of filenames. Consequently, Applicant asserts that McBrearty does not teach or suggest generating a locale-sensitive filename for the DGLSC based on the locale preference and associating the DGLSC with the locale-sensitive filename if the template generates content which will be cached. Nor does McBrearty teach or suggest caching content in a locale-sensitive directory in a server computer.

Caching said DGLSC in at least one server computer in a locale-sensitive directory to be served in response to subsequent requests having the same locale preference.

Further, paragraph 25 of the instant application recites, "[t]he DGLSC can be cached in a locale-sensitive directory, such that it can be served (and thus avoid duplicative generation of the same content) in response to subsequent requests from user's having the same locale preference." Thus, if a user requesting content has a locale preference of, for example, Sweden, the dynamically generated locale-sensitive content generated would have a locale-sensitive filename such as, for example, "Sweden_file." Further, the DGLSC "Sweden_file" would be cached in a locale-sensitive directory such as, for example, "Sweden_directory".

PROPOSED AMENDMENT

NOT FOR ENTRY

Attorney Docket No.
VIGN1210-5

10/027,384
Customer ID: 44654

6

Thus, the DGLSC will be cached in a locale-sensitive directory in a server computer. Therefore, the DGLSC may be cached in a directory having a directory name based on the user locale preference. Using the above example, "Sweden_file" could be served in response to subsequent requests having Sweden as locale preference.

In contrast, the cited passage of McBrearty recites reading a cached file from a user's browser cache directory. McBrearty does not appear to teach locale-sensitive directories corresponding to locale preferences. Applicant asserts that McBrearty does not teach or suggest caching the DGLSC in a locale-sensitive directory to be served in response to subsequent requests having the same locale preference.

For at least the reasons set forth above, Applicants assert that McBrearty does not teach or suggest the limitations of Claim 1. Accordingly, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested. If the Examiner disagrees and maintains the rejection, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner specifically point out in McBrearty the features of: determining the user's locale preference with an automatic locale detection algorithm; dynamically generating the requested content from a template as a dynamically-generated locale-sensitive content ("DGLSC") based on the locale preference; if the template is a cacheable template, generating a locale-sensitive filename for the DGLSC based on the locale preference and associating the DGLSC with the locale-sensitive filename; and caching the DGLSC in a locale-sensitive directory to be served in response to subsequent requests having the same locale preference.

Added Claim

The limitations of the added claim are supported by the specification. For example, paragraph [0052] of the specification discusses immutable objects. Applicant submits that independent Claim 2 is patentably distinct and respectfully requests allowance.

Attorney Docket No.
VIGN1210-5

10/027,384
Customer ID: 44654

7

Applicant has now made an earnest attempt to place this case in condition for allowance. Other than as explicitly set forth above, this reply does not include an acquiescence to statements, assertions, assumptions, conclusions, or any combination thereof in the Office Action. For the foregoing reasons and for other reasons clearly apparent, Applicant respectfully requests full allowance of Claims 1-2. The Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the number listed below for prompt action in the event any issues remain.

An extension of one (1) month is requested and a Notification of Extension of Time Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 with the appropriate fee is enclosed herewith.

The Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is hereby authorized to charge any fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 50-3183 of Sprinkle IP Law Group.

Respectfully submitted,

Sprinkle IP Law Group
Attorneys for Applicant

John Adair
Reg. No. 51,388

Diana Schaffer
Reg. No. 47,291

Date:

1301 W. 25th Street, Suite 408
Austin, TX 78705
Tel. (512) 637-9220
Fax. (512) 371-9088

PROPOSED AMENDMENT

NOT FOR ENTRY